



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/526,606 | 03/16/2000 | Robert S. Mancini | 3499-59 1911 EXAMINER | | |
| 27383 | 7590 05/11/2005 | | | | |
| CLIFFORD CHANCE US LLP | | | BASHORE, ALAIN L | | |
| 31 WEST 52ND STREET NEW YORK, NY 10019-6131 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| , | | | 3624 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/11/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|------------------|----------------|--|--|
| 09/526,606 | MANCINI ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Alain L. Bashore | 3624 | | |

| | Alain L. Bashore | 3624 | |
|---|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>04 April 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a | Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | • | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejecti | ion. |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | 06.07(f). | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL. | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi | riate extension fee ice action; or (2) a |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be | filed within two month | hs of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | o avoid dismissal of th | ne appeal. Since |
| AMENDMENTS | b. A | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in be | nsideration and/or search (see NO ow); | TE below); | |
| appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally re | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | llowable if submitted in a separate, | • | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | ill not be entered, or b) ill wivided below or appended. | Il be entered and an | explanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery y and was not earlier presented. S | eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ails to provide a (1). |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | on of the status of the claims after e | intry is below or attac | hed. |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | ut does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | ince because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: Further processing required for Class 705 alloware, the allowance of the application will occur after the RCE | inces will be performed. Since the t | | RCE has been |
| | | Alain L. Bashore Primary Examiner | sve |

Art Unit: 3624